arXiv:math/0509601v2 [math.DG] 20 Oct 2005

HORIZONTAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF GROUPS OF HEISENBERG TYPE

A. KAPLAN, F. LEVSTEIN, L. SAAL AND A. TIRABOSCHI

Abstract. We study maximal horizontal subgroups of Carnot groups of Heisenberg type. We classify those of dimension half of that of the canonical distribution ("lagrangians") and illustrate some notable ones of small dimension. An infinitesimal classification of the arbitrary maximal horizontal submanifolds follows as a consequence.

1. Introduction

A general Carnot manifold is, by definition, endowed with a bracket-generating distribution. A horizontal submanifold is one whose tangent spaces lie in the distribution.

Because the distribution is "outvolutive", horizontal submanifolds of large dimension are rare. At the same time, when one exists, there may be a continuum of others through each point, even sharing tangent and higher jet spaces there. Maximal ones are most natural to study on general grounds and appear in Geometric Control Theory as jet spaces of maps, or limits of minimal submanifolds, for example. The goal of this article is to describe a representative class of maximal horizontal submanifolds in a representative class of Carnot manifolds.

Carnot groups are representative of Carnot manifolds in a strict sense, the latter carrying canonical sheaves of the former. In such a group, its Lie subgroups form a representative class of submanifolds, and we will prove that any horizontal submanifold is osculated everywhere by translates of horizontal subgroups. In particular, the possible dimensions are the same.

Let N be a Carnot group (N is for nilpotent). In terms of the intrinsic grading of the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathrm{Lie}(N) = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{n}_s,$$

a horizontal subgroup is of the form $\exp(\mathfrak{u})$, where $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{n}_1$ is a subspace satisfying $[\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}] = 0$. One is so lead to describe the maximal abelian subalgebras contained in the generating subspace $\mathfrak{n}_1$. But not much else can be said in general, because there are just too many Carnot groups, even 2-step ones.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 17B30. Secondary: 51A50.

This work was supported by CONICET, Antorchas, FONCyT and Secyt (UNC).

A natural subclass to study is that of groups of Heisenberg type. Their role in subriemannian geometry has been compared to that of euclidean spaces, or of symmetric spaces, in riemannian geometry and the analysis of elliptic operators [CGN1]. In practice, they remain the only Carnot groups where basic convexity questions can be answered at all, or abundant domains fit for the Dirichlet problem for its sublaplacian can be actually constructed [CGN1] [CGN2] [Ka1]. In any case, they are an obvious starting point. The fact that they have been a source of unexpected examples in ordinary riemannian geometry and analysis gives additional support for the choice [Ka2][L][DR][GV][DGGW][GW][Sz1][Sz2]

Let then N be of Heisenberg type and use the standard notation for the grading of $\mathfrak{n}$:

$$\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{v}, \qquad \mathfrak{n}_2 = \mathfrak{z} = \text{ center}(\mathfrak{n})$$

as well as for the dimensions

$$n = \dim \mathfrak{v}, \qquad m = \dim \mathfrak{z}.$$

For emphasis, the intrinsic distribution on N has dimension n and codimension m (there will be no specific notation for the dimension of N itself, to avoid confusion).

We find that the dimension of a maximal horizontal subspace of $\mathfrak{v}$ and, therefore, that of any maximal horizontal submanifold of N, must be among the numbers

$$\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{3}, \dots, \frac{n}{m+1},$$

so the set $\mathrm{Hor}(N)$ of maximal horizontal subgroups can have at most m strata. In the ordinary Heisenberg groups, $m = 1$, $n = 2k$, and all the maximal horizontal subgroups are k-dimensional ("planes"). These are the Lagrangian subspaces (maximal isotropic) of the obvious symplectic forms defined by the bracket, which justifies much of the terminology used here.

In this paper we first describe $\mathrm{Hor}(N)$ in some notable examples, enough to illustrate generic features, like non-trivial stratifications, as well as peculiar ones, like 8-dimensional distributions with no horizontal submanifolds of dimension > 1. In the second part we fully describe the first stratum,

$$\mathrm{Lag}(N) = \{U \in \mathrm{Hor}(N) : \ \dim U = \frac{n}{2}\}$$

This is a real-analytic variety, which is sometimes empty, sometimes it is a Lie group, and always is a finite union of orbits of the analogous "symplectic" group $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$, consisting of the automorphisms of $\mathfrak{n}$ that fix the central elements.

To be more specific and as we recall in the first section, we can pick

$$\mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{R}^m$$

for any $m \geq 1$, and let $\mathfrak{v}$ be any finite-dimensional module over the Clifford algebra $C(m)$. This will be of the form

$$\mathfrak{v} = (\mathfrak{v}_m)^p$$

if $m \neq 3$ modulo 4, or

$$\mathfrak{v} = (\mathfrak{v}_m^+)^{p_+} \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_m^-)^{p_-}$$

otherwise, where $\mathfrak{v}_m, \mathfrak{v}_m^{\pm}$, are irreducible (the real spinor spaces). Hence, $\mathfrak{n}$ is determined by the integers m, n, or m, p_+, p_-. The following is a table showing the values that yield a non-empty $\mathrm{Lag}(N)$, together with their structure under $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$.

$m \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$	p or (p_+, p_-)	$\sharp$ orbits	$\mathrm{Lag}(G)$
0	any p	$p+1$	$\bigcup_r O(p)/O(r) \times O(p-r)$
1	any p	1	$U(p)/O(p)$
2	any p	1	$U(p,\mathbb{H})/U(p)$
3	any (p,p)	1	$U(p,\mathbb{H})$
4	any p	$p+1$	$\bigcup_r U(p,\mathbb{H})/U(r,\mathbb{H}) \times U(p-r,\mathbb{H})$
5	p even	1	$U(p)/U(p/2,\mathbb{H})$
6	p even	1	$O(p)/O(p/2) \times O(p/2)$
7	any (p,p)	1	$O(p)$

Table 1. The variety of Lagrangians subspaces

In the last section we give explicit descriptions of $\mathrm{Lag}(N)$ in terms of Plücker coordinates.

Acknowledgement: We thank L. Capogna and N. Garofalo for their advise and W. Dal Lago for his hospitality and patience.

2. Lie algebras of Heisenberg type

Let V be an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space and let $B : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form. Recall that a *Clifford algebra* associated to (V, B) is a a pair $(C(V, B), \theta)$, where $C(V, B)$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra, $\theta : V \to C(V, B)$ is a linear function such that $\theta(x)^2 = B(x, x)1$ for each $x \in V$ and $(C(V, B), \theta)$ satisfies the following universal property: if (A, μ) is a pair such that A is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra and $\mu : V \to A$ is linear and satisfies $\mu(x)^2 = B(x, x)1$, then there exists an algebra morphism $\mu' : C(V, B) \to A$ such that $\mu'\theta = \mu$ and μ' is unique with respect to this property.

The Clifford algebra $(C(V, B), \theta)$ exists for every (V, B) and can be obtained as a quotient of the tensor algebra $T(V)$ by the ideal generated by $x \otimes x - B(x, x)1$. Moreover, $(C(V, B), \theta)$ is unique modulo isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$-algebras and V is naturally embedded in $C(V, B)$.

Let $C(m)$ denote $C(\mathbb{R}^m, -\langle x, y\rangle)$, where $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ is the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^m$. Every module over the algebra $C(m)$ is unitary and is the direct sum of irreducibles modules. Up to isomorphism, there is precisely one irreducible module $\mathfrak{v}_m$ over $C(m)$ for $m \not\equiv 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$, and two, $\mathfrak{v}_m^+, \mathfrak{v}_m^-$, for $m \equiv 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$ [Hu][BtD].

If $\mathfrak{v}$ is a $C(m)$-module with compatible inner product (u, v), we put a Lie algebra structure on

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$$

by declaring $\mathbb{R}^m$ to be the center and defining

$$[\;,\;] : \mathfrak{v} \wedge \mathfrak{v} \to \mathbb{R}^m$$

by

$$\langle z, [u, v]\rangle = (J_z u, v)$$

where J_z denotes the Clifford action. This satisfies $J_z^2 = -\langle z, z\rangle I_z$, hence $[u, v]$ is indeed skew-symmetric; Jacobi's identity is trivially satisfied.

A Lie algebra of Heisenberg type with center $\mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{R}^m$ is then of the form

$$\mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{v}_m)^p \oplus \mathfrak{z},$$

for $m \not\equiv 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$ or

$$\mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{v}_m^+)^{p_+} \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_m^-)^{p_-} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$$

for $m \equiv 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$. These are mutually non-isomorphic except that (p_+, p_-) and (p_-, p_+) give isomorphic Lie algebras. One says that $\mathfrak{n}$ is *irreducible* if the corresponding Clifford module is irreducible.

The structure of $C(m)$ as associative algebra and the dimension of its irreducible representations $\mathfrak{v}$ are listed in the table below. Let $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ denote the real, complex and quaternionic numbers, and $\mathbb{R}_k$, $\mathbb{C}_k$, $\mathbb{H}_k$ the algebra of matrices of order k with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$, respectively.

Let $C^+(m)$ denote the even Clifford algebra, generated by the elements of even order zz', and $z_1, \ldots, z_m$ an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{R}^m$. Then the map

$$z_j \mapsto z_j z_m, \qquad \text{for } 1 \le j \le m, \qquad \text{and } z_m \mapsto z_m \tag{2.1}$$

extends to an automorphism of $C(m)$, which takes $C(m-1)$ onto $C^+(m)$.

The element

$$K_m = J_{z_1} \ldots J_{z_m}$$

commutes with $C^+(m)$ and, when m is odd, with all of $C(m)$, and

– If $m \equiv 1, 2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$, $K_m^2 = -1$ and $K_m^t = -K_m$.

– If $m \equiv 0, 3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$, $K_m^2 = 1$ and $K_m^t = K_m$.

$m\,(\mathrm{mod}\,8)$	0	1	2	3
$C(m)$	$\mathbb{R}_{2^k}$	$\mathbb{C}_{2^k}$	$\mathbb{H}_{2^{k-1}}$	$\mathbb{H}_{2^{k-1}} \otimes \mathbb{H}_{2^{k-1}}$
$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{v}$	2^k	2^{k+1}	2^{k+1}	2^{k+1}

$m\,(\mathrm{mod}\,8)$	4	5	6	7
$C(m)$	$\mathbb{H}_{2^{k-1}}$	$\mathbb{C}_{2^k}$	$\mathbb{R}_{2^k}$	$\mathbb{R}_{2^k} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{2^k}$
$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{v}$	2^{k+1}	2^{k+1}	2^k	2^k

TABLE 2. $C(m)$ and the dimension of irreducible representations. Here, $m = 2k$ if m is even, $m = 2k+1$ otherwise

– If $m \equiv 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$, K_m acts on an irreducible module as $\pm Id$. In the last case we denote by $\mathfrak{v}_m^{\pm}$ the eigenspace of K_m of eigenvalue ± 1.

Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{n})$ be the group of Lie algebra automorphisms of $\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$ the subgroup of elements acting trivially in the center. Let $End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v})$ denote the algebra of linear maps on $\mathfrak{v}$ which commute with the action of $C^+(m)$. Then [S]

$$\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}) = \{\xi \in End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v}) : \xi^t J_z \xi = J_z, \text{ for some } z \in \mathfrak{z}, z \neq 0\}. \tag{2.2}$$

The algebras with $m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8$ can be described in terms of the classical real division algebras $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$, as follows. Let $\mathbb{F}$ denote one of these and consider $\mathbb{F}^k$ as a real vector space.

To obtain those with $m = 1, 2, 4, 8$, take $\mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathfrak{v} = \mathbb{F}^p \times \mathbb{F}^p$. Then the bracket $[\,,\,] : \mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{v} \to \mathfrak{z}$ is

$$[(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}')] = \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j y_j' - x_j' y_j \tag{2.3}$$

and the Clifford action is

$$J_z(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (-z\overline{\mathbf{y}}, \overline{\mathbf{x}}z), \qquad (z \in \mathbb{F},\ \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^p). \tag{2.4}$$

To obtain those with $m = 1, 3, 7$, take $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$, respectively, let $\mathfrak{z} = \Im(\mathbb{F})$ and $\mathfrak{v} = \mathbb{F}^p \times \mathbb{F}^q$. Then the bracket $[\,,\,] : \mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{v} \to \mathfrak{z}$ is

$$[(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}')] = -\Im(\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j \overline{x_j'} + \sum_{k=1}^{q} \overline{y_k'} y_k). \tag{2.5}$$

and the Clifford action is

$$J_z(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (z\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}z), \qquad (z \in \Im(\mathbb{F}),\ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^p,\ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^q). \tag{2.6}$$

Finally, note that

$$\langle(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}),(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y}')\rangle = \Re(\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j\overline{x_j'}). \tag{2.7}$$

is the natural inner product in $\mathbb{F}^k$.

3. HORIZONTAL SUBMANIFOLDS AND SUBGROUPS

Proposition 3.1. *Let $S \hookrightarrow G$ be a horizontal submanifold of a Carnot group G and $g \in S$. Then there exist a unique Lie subgroup $H \subset G$ such that $T_g(S) = T_g(gH)$. The subgroup H is horizontal and abelian.*

Proof. Since the distribution $\mathfrak{v}$ is left-invariant, it is enough to assume that S passes through the identity e and that $g = e$. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the subspace spanned by the left-invariant vector fields whose value at e is tangent to S. Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and extend X_e, Y_e to vector fields $\tilde{X}$, $\tilde{Y}$, in a neighborhood of e, so that they are tangent to S along S. Therefore $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]$ will also have this property; in fact, it may be assumed that $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] = 0$ along S. Assuming also that X, Y are linearly independent, complete them to a basis $X_1 = X$, $X_2 = Y$, X_3, ... , of $\mathfrak{g}_1$. Let $\{T_{\alpha j}\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \geq 2$ and write

$$\tilde{X} = \sum_i f_i X_i + \sum_{\alpha,j} \phi_{\alpha j} T^{\alpha j}, \qquad \tilde{Y} = \sum_i g_i X_i + \sum_{\alpha,j} \psi_{\alpha j} T_{\alpha j}$$

with smooth coefficients. Now compute

$$0 = [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] = \sum_{i<j} (f_i g_j - f_j g_i)[X_i, X_j] + \sum_{\alpha,j} ((\tilde{X}\psi_{\alpha j}) - (\tilde{Y}\phi_{\alpha j}))T_{\alpha j} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta,j,k} \phi_{\alpha j}\psi_{\beta k}[T_{\alpha j}, T_{\beta k}]$$

By horizontality, the functions $\phi_{\alpha j}$ and $\psi_{\beta k}$ are constantly equal to zero on S. Since $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ are tangent to S, the second and third sums vanish on S and, therefore,

$$0 = \sum_{i<j} (f_i(s) g_j(s) - f_j(s) g_i(s))[X_i, X_j](s)$$

for $s \in S$. Evaluating at $s = e$ and recalling that $f_1(e) = 1$, $f_i(e) = 0\ \forall i \neq 1$, $g_2(e) = 1$, $g_i(e) = 0\ \forall i \neq 2$, we obtain $[X, Y](e) = 0$. By left-invariance, $[X, Y] = 0$. We conclude that $\mathfrak{h}$ is an abelian subalgebra, contained in $\mathfrak{v}$. Taking $H = \exp(\mathfrak{h})$, the assertion follows.

□

Proposition 3.2. *The maximal abelian subgroups of a 2-step Carnot group G are those of the form $U \cdot Z(G)$, where U is a maximal horizontal subgroup and $Z(G)$ is the center of G.*

Proof. A maximal abelian subalgebra, as well as the center, are automatically graded. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let n the dimension of the canonical distribution $\mathfrak{v}$ and m its codimension. Then the dimension of any maximal horizontal submanifold of G must be among the numbers $n/2,\ n/3,\ ..., n/(m+1)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, it is enough to prove the assertion for a maximal horizontal subgroup, of the form $H = \exp(\mathfrak{h})$ with $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0$. In terms of the operators J_z, the commutativity is expressed by $(J_z\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) = 0$, i.e., $J_{\mathfrak{z}}\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h}^\perp$. Conversely, let $v \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp$. Then $0 = (v, J_Z\mathfrak{h}) = (z, [v, \mathfrak{h}])$ $\forall z$ and, therefore, $[v, \mathfrak{h}] = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is maximal horizontal, $v \in \mathfrak{h}$. Consequently, a subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{v}$ is maximal abelian if and only if

$$J_{\mathfrak{z}}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}^\perp$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus (\sum J_{z_i}(\mathfrak{h})).$$

It follows that $2h \le n \le h + mh = h(m+1)$, so that

$$n/(m+1) \le h \le n/2$$

□

Since $n \ge 2^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$, Proposition 3.3 is quite restrictive and shows that for large m, the possible dimensions are all close to largest possible one. The two extreme cases correspond, respectively, to

$$n/2: \qquad \mathfrak{h}^\perp = J_z(\mathfrak{h})$$

for all $z \neq 0$, and

$$n/(m+1): \qquad \mathfrak{h}^\perp = \oplus_{i=1}^m J_{z_i}(\mathfrak{h})$$

for a basis of $\mathfrak{z}$.

We next illustrate some possibilities that can occur. First, consider the lowest-dimensional Heisenberg groups associated to the division algebras as described at the end of last section. Then

$$\mathrm{Lie}(N_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \Im(\mathbb{C}), \qquad \mathrm{Lie}(N_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbb{H} \oplus \Im(\mathbb{H}), \qquad \mathrm{Lie}(N_{\mathbb{O}}) = \mathbb{O} \oplus \Im(\mathbb{O}).$$

These are the only groups of Heisenberg type satisfying $n = m + 1$. Indeed, if $\{z_i\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{z}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{v}$ is non-zero, $v, J_{z_1}v, ..., J_{z_m}v$, is a basis of $\mathfrak{v}$ such that $[v, J_{z_i}v] = z_i$. Therefore $\mathbb{R}v$ is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{v}$. A similar argument shows that they are the only irreducible groups of Heisenberg type for which the dimension $n/(m+1)$ is actually realized.

We conclude that the horizontal submanifolds of these groups are all one-dimensional. The varieties of maximal horizontal subgroups are

$$\mathrm{Hor}(N_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathrm{Lag}(N_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq S^1$$

$$\mathrm{Hor}(N_{\mathbb{H}}) \simeq \mathbb{R}P^3, \qquad \mathrm{Lag}(N_{\mathbb{H}}) = \emptyset$$

$$\mathrm{Hor}(N_{\mathbb{O}}) \simeq \mathbb{R}P^7, \qquad \mathrm{Lag}(N_{\mathbb{O}}) = \emptyset$$

Finally, consider the case $m = 8$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ irreducible:

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v}_8 \oplus \mathbb{R}^8 = (\mathbb{O} \times \mathbb{O}) \oplus \mathbb{O}.$$

Here $n = 16$ and, therefore, the dimensions allowed by Proposition 3.3 are $2, 4$ and 8. In the next section we will see that there are only two lagrangians

$$\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}) = \{\mathfrak{w}_+, \mathfrak{w}_-\}.$$

Here we will see that there is none of dimension four and a 32-parameter family of two-dimensional ones; at the end,

$$\mathrm{Hor}(\mathfrak{n}) \cong \big(Gr_{\mathbb{R}}(2,8) \times \mathbb{R}P^7 \times \mathbb{R}_+\big) \cup \{\mathfrak{w}_+, \mathfrak{w}_-\}.$$

When the parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ goes to 0 or ∞, the limits of the corresponding 2-dimensional subspaces lie, respectively, in $\mathfrak{w}_+$ and $\mathfrak{w}_-$, so the terms in parenthesis define a natural stratification of $\mathrm{Hor}(\mathfrak{n})$.

Decompose $\mathfrak{v}_8 = \mathfrak{w}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{w}_-$ as $C^+(8)$-module. Let $w \in \mathfrak{v}$, $w = v + u$ with $v \in \mathfrak{w}_+, u \in \mathfrak{w}_-$. It easy to see that there exists $z \in \mathfrak{z}$ such that $w = v + J_z v$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $0 \neq v \in \mathfrak{w}_+$ *and* $0 \neq z =\in \mathfrak{z}$.

1. *The centralizer of* $v + J_z v$ *is* $J_{\mathfrak{z}}(v + J_z^{-1} v)$.
2. *Let* $u, u' \in \mathfrak{z}$ *such that* u, u', z *are linear independent, then* $J_u(v + J_z^{-1} v)$ *and* $J_{u'}(v + J_z^{-1} v)$ *do not commute.*

Proof. Define $z' = z/\langle z, z\rangle$ and $t = \langle z, z\rangle$, thus $J_z = tJ_{z'}$ and $J_z^{-1} = -t^{-1} J_{z'}$.

(1) It is clear that $v + J_z v$ and $J_z(v + J_z^{-1} v) = J_z v + v$ commute. Now let $u \in z^{\perp}$ and $u'' \in \mathfrak{z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u'', [v + J_z v, J_u(v + J_z^{-1} v)]\rangle &= \langle u'', [v, J_u v]\rangle + \langle u'', [tJ_{z'} v, J_u(-t^{-1}) J_{z'}^{-1} v] =\rangle \\
&= \langle u'', [v, J_u v]\rangle - \langle u'', [J_{z'} v, J_u J_{z'}^{-1} v]\rangle \\
&= \langle J_{u''} v, J_u v\rangle - \langle J_{u''} J_{z'} v, J_u J_{z'} v\rangle \\
&= \langle J_{u''} v, J_u v\rangle - \langle J_{u''} v, J_u v\rangle = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the centralizer of $v + J_z v$ contains $J_{\mathfrak{z}}(v + J_z^{-1} v)$. Recall that $ad(u) : \mathfrak{v}_8 \to \mathfrak{z}$ is surjective for all $0 \neq u \in \mathfrak{v}_8$. Therefore, $\dim(\mathrm{Ker}\, ad(v + J_z v)) = 8$, thus the centralizer of $v + J_z v$ is equal to $J_{\mathfrak{z}}(v + J_z^{-1} v)$.

(2) We can consider u, u', z mutually orthogonally and $\langle u, u\rangle = \langle u', u'\rangle = 1$. Let $u'' \in \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{u, u', z\}^{\perp}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u'', [J_u(v + J_z^{-1}v), J_{u'}(v + J_z^{-1}v)]\rangle &= \langle u'', [J_u v, J_{u'} J_z^{-1} v]\rangle + \langle u'', [J_u J_z^{-1} v, = J_{u'} v]\rangle \\ &= -t\langle J_{u''} J_u v, J_{u'} J_{z'} v\rangle - t\langle J_{u''} J_u J_{z'} v, J_{u'} v =\rangle \\ &= -t\langle J_{u''} v, J_u J_{u'} J_{z'} v\rangle - t\langle J_{u''} v, J_{z'} J_u J_{u'} v =\rangle \\ &= -2t\langle J_{u''} v, J_{z'} J_u J_{u'} v\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we suppose that $J_u(v + J_z^{-1}v)$ and $J_{u'}(v + J_z^{-1}v)$ commute we have $\langle J_{u''} v, J_{z'} J_u J_{u'} v\rangle = 0$. Since, we also have that $\langle J_w v, J_{z'} J_u J_{u'} v\rangle = 0$ for all $w \in \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{u, u', z\}$. Then, $J_{z'} J_u J_{u'} v = 0$, a contradiction. This proves the Lemma. $\square$

The lemma implies that the 2-planes spanned by pairs $u + J_z v, v + J_z u$, are all abelian and maximal with this property. To prove that these are all those of dimension < 8, let $u \in \mathfrak{v}$ and write $u = (x, y)$ with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{w}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{w}_-$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_1$ maximal isotropic subspaces of $\mathfrak{v}$ of dimension 2. $\mathcal{L}$ ($\mathcal{L}_1$ respectively) has a basis $(x, y), (x', y')$ (resp. $(x_1, y_1), (x_1', y_1')$) such that $x \perp x'$ (resp. $x_1 \perp x_1'$) and $0 = [(x, y), (x', y')] = xy' - x'y$ (resp. $0 = x_1 y_1' - x_1' y_1$). If $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1$, there must be $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x_1 = ax + bx', \qquad y_1 = ay + by' \tag{3.1}$$

$$x_1' = cx + dx', \qquad y_1' = cy + dy'. \tag{3.2}$$

Because of $x_1 \perp x_1'$, it follows $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d\end{pmatrix} \in O(2)$. Conversely, if $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d\end{pmatrix} \in O(2)$ and x_1, x_1', y_1, y_1' are defined as in formulas (3.1) and (3.2), then $(x_1, y_1), (x_1', y_1')$ is a basis of $\mathcal{L}$ such that x_1, x_1' is an orthonormal set. Thus, every maximal isotropic subspace other than the $\mathfrak{w}_\pm$, is determined by a 2 dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^8$ (generated by x, x') and a non-zero $y \in \mathbb{R}^8$.

4. Generalities on Lagrangians

Let N be a group of Heisenberg type with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$. The maximal horizontal submanifolds of dimension $\dim \mathfrak{v}/2$ are called Lagrangians. Via the exponential map, they correspond to $[\ ,\ ]$-isotropic subspaces of $\mathfrak{v}$ of half the dimension, which will also be called Lagrangians. They form a closed real-analytic variety, which we denote by $\operatorname{Lag}(N)$, $\operatorname{Lag}(\mathfrak{n})$, or even $\operatorname{Lag}(\mathfrak{v})$. In this section we describe three general properties needed later: the relation with $C^+(m)$-submodules, the appearance of the periodicity modulo 8 and the natural action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{n})$ on $\operatorname{Lag}(\mathfrak{n})$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let* $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{v})$. *Then* $\mathcal{L}^\perp \in \mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{v})$, $\mathfrak{v} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^\perp$ *and* $J_z(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}^\perp$ *for all non-zero* $z \in \mathfrak{z}$.

Proof. For $z \in \mathfrak{z}$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{L}$, $\langle J_z x, y\rangle = \langle z, [x, y]\rangle = 0$. Thus $J_z(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}^\perp$ for any $z \in \mathfrak{z}$. The other results follow easily. ☐

It follows that double products $J_z J_w$ preserves $\mathcal{L}$, hence

Corollary 4.2. *Any Lagrangian* $\mathcal{L}$ *is a* $C^+(m)$*-module.*

Sometimes, to stress the dimension m of the center $\mathfrak{z}$, it will be useful to denote it by $\mathfrak{z}_m$, so that $\mathfrak{z}_m = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathfrak{z}_m \times \mathfrak{z}_r = \mathbb{R}^{m+r}$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $\mathfrak{v}_r$ *be a* $C(r)$*-module and let* $\mathfrak{v}_m$ *be a* $C(m)$*-module with* $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. *Then* $\mathfrak{v}_m \otimes \mathfrak{v}_r$ *is a* $C(m+r)$*-module, with Clifford action*

$$J_{(z,w)} := J_z \otimes Id + K_m \otimes J_w,$$

$(z, w) \in \mathfrak{z}_m \times \mathfrak{z}_r$. *The corresponding algebra of Heisenberg type is*

$$(\mathfrak{v}_m \otimes \mathfrak{v}_r) \oplus (\mathfrak{z}_m \times \mathfrak{z}_r)$$

with bracket

$$[x \otimes u, y \otimes v] = (\langle u, v\rangle[x, y], \langle K_m x, y\rangle[u, v]), \tag{4.1}$$

$x, y \in \mathfrak{v}_m$, $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}_r$.

Proof. (4.1) is checked by taking inner product with $z \in \mathfrak{z}_m \times \mathfrak{z}_r$ on both sides of the equation. The rest of the assertions follow easily. ☐

Corollary 4.4. *If* $\mathfrak{v}_r$ *is an irreducible* $C(r)$*-module, then* $\mathfrak{v}_8 \otimes \mathfrak{v}_r$ *is an irreducible* $C(8+r)$*-module. Indeed,* $(\mathfrak{v}_8)^{\otimes s} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_r$ *is an irreducible* $C(8s+r)$*-module and*

$$K_{8s+r} = (K_8^{r+1})^{\otimes s} \otimes K_r.$$

Proof. The dimension of $\mathfrak{v}_8 \otimes \mathfrak{v}_r$ is $16 \times \dim(\mathfrak{v}_r)$ and we conclude the result from Table 2. ☐

We can now see how the periodicity modulo 8 typical of Clifford modules is reflected on these Lagrangians.

Theorem 4.5. *If* $\mathcal{L}_r \in \mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{v}_r)$ *and* $\mathcal{L}_8 \in \mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{v}_8)$, *then*

$$\mathcal{L}_8 \otimes \mathcal{L}_r + \mathcal{L}_8{}^\perp \otimes \mathcal{L}_r^\perp \ \in \ \mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{v}_8 \otimes \mathfrak{v}_r).$$

Proof. $\mathcal{L}^{\perp}$ is Lagrangian from Proposition 4.1. If $(x,u),(y,v) \in \mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{L}$, then $[x \otimes u, y \otimes v] = [x,y]\langle u,w\rangle + \langle K_8 x, y\rangle [u,v] = 0$, because of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}'$ are Lagrangians. As $\mathcal{L}'^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\perp}$ are isotropic, we have $[x \otimes u, y \otimes v] = 0$ for $(x,u),(y,v) \in \mathcal{L}'^{\perp} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$.

Now, let $(x,u) \in \mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{L}$ and $(y,v) \in \mathcal{L}'^{\perp} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$. By Corollary 4.2 we have that $\mathcal{L}'$ is a $C^{+}(8)$-module, so $K_8 x \in \mathcal{L}'$, then $[x \otimes u, y \otimes v] = [x,y]\langle u,w\rangle + \langle K_8 x, y\rangle [u,v] = 0$. ☐

Recall $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$, the group of orthogonal automorphisms of $\mathfrak{n}$ that act trivially on $\mathfrak{z}$. Then,

Theorem 4.6. *If* $m \not\equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$*,* $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$ *acts transitively on* $\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n})$*. If* $m \equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$ *and* $\mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{v}_m)^p \oplus \mathfrak{z}$*, then* $\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n})$ *is the union of* $p+1$ *orbits of* $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n})$. By Corollary 4.2, $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}'$ are $C^{+}(m)$-modules of the same dimension.

If $m \not\equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$, there must be an isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}'$ intertwining the action of $C^{+}(m)$. Moreover, ψ may be taken orthogonal with respect to the inner product on $\mathfrak{v}$. Indeed as ψ is non singular and ψ^{*} is also in $End_{C^{+}(m)}(\mathfrak{v}_m^p)$ we have that $\xi = (\psi\psi^{*})^{-1/2}\psi \in End_{C^{+}(m)}(\mathfrak{v}_m^p)$ is orthogonal and $\xi\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}'$. Since $\mathfrak{v} = \mathcal{L} \oplus J_m(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}' \oplus J_m(\mathcal{L}')$ we can extend ψ to all of $\mathfrak{v}$ by $\psi(J_m(u)) = J_m(\psi(u))$ for all $u \in \mathcal{L}$. Since ψ is orthogonal by construction and $\psi J_i = J_i \psi$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$, ψ is automorphism.

If, instead, $m \equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$, $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}'$ are isomorphic as $C^{+}(m)$-modules if and only if the multiplicity of $\mathfrak{v}_m^{+}$ is the same in both. Notice also that if ψ is an orthogonal automorphism of $\mathfrak{n}$, $\psi : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}'$ is a $C^{+}(m)$-module isomorphism. The rest of the proof follows as in the previous case, to conclude that there are exactly $p+1$ isomorphism clases. ☐

The following theorem essentially solves our problem for $\mathfrak{n}$ irreducible as algebra of Heisenberg type.

Theorem 4.7. *If* $\mathfrak{v}$ *is an irreducible* $C(m)$*-module, then every proper* $C^{+}(m)$*-submodule of* $\mathfrak{v}$ *is Lagrangian.*

Proof. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_m$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{z}_m$ and denote $K = J_{z_1} \ldots J_{z_m}$

For $m \equiv 3,5,6,7 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$ we see, by dimension, that there are no proper $C^{+}(m)$-modules (see Table 2).

For $m \equiv 0,4 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$, K is symmetric and $K^2 = 1$, and by Table 2 the only proper $C^{+}(m)$-modules are irreducible. In fact, they are the K-eigenspaces $\mathfrak{v}_{\pm}$ with eigenvalues ± 1. Since $J_{z_i} K = -K J_{z_i}$, $J_{z_i}\mathfrak{v}_{\pm} = \mathfrak{v}_{\mp}$. Let $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}_{+}$. Then $[u,v] = 0$ if and only if $\langle z_i, [u,v]\rangle = 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, m)$. Now, $\langle z_i, [u,v]\rangle = \langle J_{z_i} u, v\rangle = 0$ because $\langle \mathfrak{v}_{+}, \mathfrak{v}_{-}\rangle = 0$. So, $\mathfrak{v}_{+}$ is Lagrangian. In analogous way we obtain that $\mathfrak{v}_{-}$ is Lagrangian as well.

The cases $m \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 8$ are a bit more involved. We will find and fix a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ that, by Corollary 4.2 will necessarily be a $C^+(m)$-module. We will compute the space $End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v})$ of intertwining operators for the $C^+(m)$-action on $\mathfrak{v}$ and prove that $\phi(\mathcal{L})$ is isotropic for all $\phi \in End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v})$.

For $m = 1$ any one dimensional subspace can be taken as our fixed Lagrangian. For $m = 2$, we can take $\mathfrak{v} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathfrak{z}_2 = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{i, j\}$ and the Clifford action given by left multiplication and inner product $\langle u, v\rangle = u\overline{v}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{i, j\}$ is a Lagrangian in $\mathfrak{v}$. Furthermore, if $\phi : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ is an intertwining operator and $\phi(1) = q$, then $\phi = R_q$ is right multiplication by q. It follows that $\phi(\mathcal{L})$ is Lagrangian. Let us choose $\phi = R_q$ with $q^2 = -1$, so that ϕ is skew-symmetric.

When $m \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 8$ there is only one irreducible $C(m)$-module $\mathfrak{v}_m$ up to equivalence. If $m = r + 8$, then $\mathfrak{v}_m = \mathfrak{v}_8 \otimes \mathfrak{v}_r$, by Corollary 4.4. Let $\mathbb{O}_\pm$ be the vector subspace of $\mathfrak{v}_8$ of eigenvectors of K_8 with eigenvalues ± 1. $\mathbb{O}_\pm$ are $C^+(8)$-modules since K_8 commute with $C^+(8)$. If $\mathcal{L}_r \in \mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{v}_r)$, then $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{O}_+ \otimes \mathcal{L}_r \oplus \mathbb{O}_- \otimes \mathcal{L}_r^\perp \in \mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{v}_m)$ and $\mathfrak{v}_m = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^\perp$. The existence of a Lagrangian for any dimension follows now by induction. We fix such $\mathcal{L}$ and we will see that $\phi(\mathcal{L})$ is isotropic for all $\phi \in End_{C^+}(\mathfrak{v}_m)$.

In the case $m \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, we first prove that

$$End_{C^+}(\mathfrak{v}_m) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a\ Id_{\mathcal{L}} & cK_{|\mathcal{L}^\perp} \\ bK_{|\mathcal{L}} & d\ Id_{\mathcal{L}^\perp} \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

where the action of the matrix is with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{v}_m = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^\perp$. Now, $K : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}^\perp$ is an intertwining operator for the action of $C^+(m)$. Thus, the result follows by Schur's Lemma and the fact that $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^\perp$ are real irreducible $C^+(m)$-modules (see Table 2). Therefore any proper $C^+(m)$-module is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}' = \{ax + bKx : x \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

Such $\mathcal{L}'$ is isotropic: $[ax + bKx, ay + bKy] = ab([x, Ky] + [Kx, y])$, but for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\langle z, [x, Ky] + [Kx, y]\rangle = \langle J_z x, Ky\rangle + \langle J_z Kx, y\rangle = \langle -KJ_z x, y\rangle + \langle J_z Kx, y\rangle = 0$$

since $KJ_z = J_z K$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

In the case $m \equiv 2 \pmod 8$, we have $K^2 = -1$. Since m is even and $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^\perp$ are $C^+(m)$-modules, $\mathcal{L}$ must be K-invariant. Thus, K gives complex structures on $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^\perp$. By Schur's Lemma, the intertwining operators of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^\perp$ are of the form $a + bK$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, we look for ϕ_m an $C(m)$-intertwining operator of $\mathfrak{v}_m$ that sends $\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{L}^\perp$. Since $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{O}_+ \otimes \mathcal{L}_r \oplus \mathbb{O}_- \otimes \mathcal{L}_r^\perp$, ϕ_m can be defined recursively as

$$\phi_2 = R_q, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_m = Id \otimes \phi_r \tag{4.2}$$

for $m = 8 + r$ and $r \equiv 2 \pmod 8$. Since ϕ_2 is skew-symmetric, ϕ_m is so too.

With $\phi = \phi_m$ we have

$$End_{C^+}(\mathfrak{v}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a + bK & (c + dK)\phi_{|\mathcal{L}^\perp} \\ (a' + b'K)\phi_{|\mathcal{L}} & c' + d'K \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{v}_m = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^\perp$. Recall that $KJ_i = -J_iK$ $(i = 1, \ldots, m)$ and $K^t = -K$. Let $\mathcal{W} = \{u_x : u_x = (a + bK)x + (a' + b'K)\phi x, x \in \mathcal{L}\}$, then

$$[u_x, u_y] = [(a + bK)x, (a' + b'K)\phi y] + [(a' + b'K)\phi x, (a + bK)y].$$

For $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z, [u_x, u_y]\rangle &= \langle z, [(a + bK)x, (a' + b'K)\phi y] + [(a' + b'K)\phi x, (a + bK)y]\rangle \\ &= \langle J_z(a + bK)x, (a' + b'K)\phi y\rangle + \langle J_z(a' + b'K)\phi = x, (a + bK)y\rangle \\ &= \langle (a' - b'K)J_z(a + bK)x, \phi y\rangle - \langle (a - b = K)J_z(a' + b'K)x, \phi y\rangle \\ &= \langle J_z(a' + b'K)(a + bK)x, \phi y\rangle - \langle J_z(a + b = K)(a' + b'K)x, \phi y\rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we use that ϕ_m is skew-symmetric. From the equation above we conclude that $\mathcal{W}$ is isotropic. □

Corollary 4.8. *If $m \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ and $m = r + 8$, then every Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{v}_m$ is of the form*

$$(\mathbb{O}_+ \otimes \mathcal{L}') \oplus (\mathbb{O}_- \otimes \mathcal{L}'^\perp)$$

where $\mathcal{L}'$ is a Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{v}_r$.

Proof. >From the proof above we know that $\mathcal{L} = \{ax + bK_mx : x \in \mathbb{O}_+ \otimes \mathcal{L}_r \oplus \mathbb{O}_- \otimes \mathcal{L}_r^\perp\}$. Now $x = x_+ \otimes y + x_- \otimes y^\perp$ and $K_m = Id \otimes K_r$. Then

$$ax + bK_mx = x_+ \otimes (a + bK_r)y + x_- \otimes (a + bK_r)y^\perp.$$

By the Theorem above, $\mathcal{L}' = \{(a + bK_r)y : y \in \mathcal{L}_r\}$ is a Lagrangian in $\mathfrak{v}_r$ and is easy to check that $\mathcal{L}'^\perp = \{(a + bK_r)y^\perp : y^\perp \in \mathcal{L}_r^\perp\}$. □

5. Lag($\mathfrak{n}$) EXPLICITLY

In this section we will describe Lag($\mathfrak{n}$). We do this in terms of Plücker coordinates on the corresponding grassmanian whenever the result is not too messy, and as orbits of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{n}$, or finite unions thereof, in every case. The notation Lag($\mathfrak{n}$) $\cong A/B$ will always mean that Lag($\mathfrak{n}$) is an orbit of a subgroup $A \in \mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$ and B is the isotropy subgroup.

Thanks to Corollary 4.2, it is enough to describe the $C^+(m)$-submodules of $\mathfrak{v}$ and then determine which of these are totally isotropic.

We will consider eight separate cases, corresponding to the congruence modulo 8 of $\dim \mathfrak{z}$, the center of $\mathfrak{n}$. Fix $z_1, \ldots, z_m$ an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{z}$, set $J_i = J_{z_i}$ and

$$K_m = J_1 ... J_m.$$

It will be convenient to display the dimension m of the center of $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} + \mathfrak{z}$ and the multiplicities of the spin representations appearing in $\mathfrak{v}$, as explained in section 2:

$$\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)} = (\mathfrak{v}_m)^p \oplus \mathfrak{z}_m,$$

for $m \not\equiv 3 \,(\operatorname{mod} 4)$, and

$$\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p_+,p_-)} = (\mathfrak{v}_m^+)^{p_+} \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_m^-)^{p_-} \oplus \mathfrak{z}_m$$

for $m \equiv 3 \,(\operatorname{mod} 4)$.

The case $m \equiv 1$

In this case there is only one irreducible $C(m)$-module $\mathfrak{v}_m$, up to isomorphism. From the proof of Theorem 4.7 we have $\mathfrak{v}_m = \mathcal{L} \oplus K\mathcal{L}$ where $\mathcal{L}$ and $K\mathcal{L}$ are Lagrangian and equivalent irreducible $C^+(m)$-modules. If $\mathfrak{v}$ is a $C(m)$-module, then

$$\mathfrak{v} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} (\mathfrak{w}_i \oplus K\mathfrak{w}_i),$$

where $\mathfrak{w}_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}$ for all i. Thus, we can write

$$\mathfrak{v} = (\mathbb{R}^p \otimes \mathcal{L}) \oplus (\mathbb{R}^p \otimes K\mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{R}^p \otimes \mathfrak{v}_m.$$

In terms of this decomposition,

$$[a \otimes w, a' \otimes w'] = \langle a, a' \rangle [w, w'],$$

for $a, a' \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $w, w' \in \mathfrak{v}_m$. From Schur's Lemma and the fact that $\mathcal{L}$ and $K\mathcal{L}$ are real irreducible $C^+(m)$-modules, it follows that

$$End_{C^+}(\mathfrak{v}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A \otimes Id_{\mathcal{L}} & C \otimes K_{|K\mathcal{L}} \\ B \otimes K_{|\mathcal{L}} & D \otimes Id_{K\mathcal{L}} \end{pmatrix} : A, B, C, D \in M_p(\mathbb{R}) \right\},$$

where the action is with respect to the decomposition $(\mathbb{R}^p \otimes \mathcal{L}) \oplus (\mathbb{R}^p \otimes K\mathcal{L})$. Therefore any proper $C^+(m)$-module is of the form

$$\mathcal{W} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ Aa \otimes u + Ba \otimes Ku : a \otimes u \in \mathbb{R}^p \otimes \mathcal{L} \}.$$

Lemma 5.1. (1) *$\mathcal{W}$ is isotropic if and only if $A^tB - B^tA = 0$.*

(2) *$\mathcal{W}$ is Lagrangian if and only if it is isotropic and the $2p \times p$-block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ has rank equal to p*

Proof. (1) Let $a \otimes u, a' \otimes u' \in \mathbb{R}^p \otimes \mathcal{L}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}[][Aa \otimes u + Ba \otimes Ku, Aa' \otimes u' + Ba' \otimes Ku'] &= \langle Aa, Ba'\rangle[u, Ku'] + \langle Ba, Aa'\rangle[Ku, u'] \\ &= (\langle Aa, Ba'\rangle - \langle Ba, Aa'\rangle)[u, Ku'] \\ &= \langle (A^tB - B^tA)a, a'\rangle[u, Ku'].\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}, K\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n})$, $[u, Ku'] \neq 0$, for some $u, u' \in \mathcal{L}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{W}$ is isotropic if and only if $A^tB - B^tA = 0$ and this proves (1).

(2) This follows because $\dim(\mathcal{W})$ is equal to $\operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \dim(\mathcal{L})$. ☐

Proposition 5.2. $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$ *is the group of*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \otimes Id_{\mathcal{L}} & C \otimes K_{|K\mathcal{L}} \\ B \otimes K_{|\mathcal{L}} & D \otimes Id_{K\mathcal{L}} \end{pmatrix} \in End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v})$$

such that

$$A^tB - B^tA = 0, \qquad C^tD - D^tC = 0, \qquad A^tD - B^tC = 1. \tag{5.1}$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)}) \cong Sp(p, \mathbb{R})$ *(see also* [S]*).*

Proof. By (2.2), $g \in \mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$ if and only if g is in $End_{C^+}(\mathfrak{v})$ and $g^tJ_mg = J_m$. We can choose a basis of $\mathfrak{v}$ such that $J_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id \otimes K_{|K\mathcal{L}} \\ -Id \otimes K_{|\mathcal{L}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, thus the result follows. ☐

Proposition 5.3.

$$\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)}) \cong U(p)/O(p).$$

Proof. As a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}) = Sp(p, \mathbb{R})$, the group of isometric automorphisms $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{n})$ is isomorphic to $U(p)$, viewed as the set of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A \otimes Id_{\mathcal{L}} & -B \otimes K_{|K\mathcal{L}} \\ B \otimes K_{|\mathcal{L}} & A \otimes Id_{K\mathcal{L}} \end{pmatrix} \in End_{C^+}(\mathfrak{v})$. By Proposition 4.6, $U(p)$ acts transitively on $\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n})$. The isotropy subgroup is the set of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A \otimes Id_{\mathcal{L}} & 0 \\ 0 & A \otimes Id_{K\mathcal{L}} \end{pmatrix}$ where A is real orthogonal matrix, hence this isotropy subgroup is isomorphic to $O(p)$. ☐

The case $m \equiv 2$

In this case there is only one irreducible $C(m)$-module $\mathfrak{v}_m$, up to isomorphism. By Theorem 4.7, $\mathfrak{v}_m = \mathcal{L} \oplus \phi_m \mathcal{L}$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is an irreducible $C^+(m)$-module and ϕ_m is given by (4.2). Then any $C(m)$-module has a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{v} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} (\mathfrak{w}_i \oplus \phi_m \mathfrak{w}_i),$$

where $\mathfrak{w}_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}$ for all i. In this case K is a complex structure on $\mathfrak{v}$ which leaves $\mathcal{L}$ invariant, we can write

$$\mathfrak{v} = (\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathcal{L}) \oplus (\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \phi_m \mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{C}^p \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{v}_m.$$

In terms of this decomposition,

$$[a \otimes w, a' \otimes w'] = [\langle a, a' \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\, w, w'],$$

where $a, a' \in \mathbb{C}^p$, $w, w' \in \mathfrak{v}_m$ and $\langle a, a' \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \sum a_i a'_i$. Indeed, We can write $a \otimes w = (b + Kc) \otimes w$ and $a' \otimes w' = (b' + Kc') \otimes w'$, where $a, a' \in \mathbb{C}^p$, $b, b', c, c' \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
[a \otimes w, a' \otimes w'] &= [(b + Kc) \otimes w, (b' + Kc') \otimes w'] \\
&= [b \otimes w, b' \otimes w'] + [Kc \otimes w, Kc' \otimes w'] + [b \otimes w, Kc' \otimes w'] + [Kc \otimes w, b' \otimes w'] \\
&= [b \otimes w, b' \otimes w'] + [c \otimes Kw, c' \otimes Kw'] + [b \otimes w, c' \otimes Kw'] + [c \otimes Kw, b' \otimes w'] \\
&= [b \otimes w, b' \otimes w'] + [c \otimes K^2 w, c' \otimes w'] + [b \otimes Kw, c' \otimes w'] + [c \otimes Kw, b' \otimes w'] \\
&= [(\langle b, b' \rangle - \langle c, c' \rangle) w, w'] + [(\langle c, b' \rangle + \langle b, c' \rangle) Kw, w'] \\
&= [\langle a, a' \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\, w, w'].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from [S], Schur's Lemma and the fact that $\mathcal{L}$ and $\phi_m \mathcal{L}$ are complex irreducible $C^+(m)$-modules, that

$$End_{C^+}(\mathfrak{v}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A \otimes Id_{\mathcal{L}} & C \otimes \phi_{m|\phi_m \mathcal{L}} \\ B \otimes \phi_{m|\mathcal{L}} & D \otimes Id_{\phi_m \mathcal{L}} \end{pmatrix} : A, B, C, D \in M_p(\mathbb{C}) \right\},$$

where the action of the matrix is with respect to the decomposition $(\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathcal{L}) \oplus (\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \phi_m \mathcal{L})$ (cf. Table 2). Therefore any proper $C^+(m)$-module is of the form

$$\mathcal{W} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ Aa \otimes u + Ba \otimes \phi_m u : a \otimes u \in \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathcal{L} \}.$$

Now we can check which $\mathcal{W}$ are isotropic:

$$\begin{aligned}[Aa\otimes u+Ba\otimes\phi_m u, Aa'\otimes u'+Ba'\otimes\phi_m u'] &= [\langle Aa,Ba'\rangle u,\phi_m u']+[\langle Ba,Aa'\rangle\phi_m u,u'] \\ &= [(\langle Aa,Ba'\rangle-\langle Ba,Aa'\rangle)u,\phi_m u'] \\ &= [\langle (B^tA-A^tB)a,a'\rangle u,\phi_m u'].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{W}$ is isotropic if and only if $A^tB-B^tA=0$.

Proposition 5.4. $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$ *is the group of matrices*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A\otimes Id_{\mathcal{L}} & C\otimes\phi_{m|\phi_m\mathcal{L}} \\ -B\otimes\phi_{m|\mathcal{L}} & D\otimes Id_{\phi_m\mathcal{L}}\end{pmatrix}\in End_{C^+}(\mathfrak{v})$$

such that

$$A^tB-B^tA=0,\qquad C^tD-D^tC=0,\qquad A^tD-B^tC=1. \tag{5.2}$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})\cong Sp(p,\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. By (2.2), $\xi\in\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$ if and only if ξ is in $End_{C^+}(\mathfrak{v})$ and $\xi^tJ_m\xi=J_m$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.7, we set $m=8+r$, $\mathfrak{v}_m=\mathfrak{v}_8\otimes\mathfrak{v}_r$, $\phi_m=Id\otimes\phi_r$ and $\mathcal{L}_m=\mathbb{O}_+\otimes\mathcal{L}_r\oplus\mathbb{O}_-\otimes\mathcal{L}_r^\perp$, where $\mathcal{L}_r$ is a Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{v}_r$. We also have $\phi_m(\mathcal{L}_m)=\mathcal{L}_m^\perp=J_m(\mathcal{L}_m)$. Now, we fix basis $v_1,\dots,v_8$ of $\mathbb{O}_+$ and $w_1,\dots,w_t$ of $\mathcal{L}_r$, thus $v_i\otimes w_j$ is a basis of $\mathbb{O}_+\otimes\mathcal{L}_r$. We complete to a basis of $\mathcal{L}_m$ adding $(J_8\otimes J_r)(v_i\otimes w_j)$ which is a basis of $\mathbb{O}_-\otimes\mathcal{L}_r^\perp$. Finally, applying $J_m=K_8\otimes J_r$ we complete to a basis of $\mathfrak{v}_m$. With respect of this basis the matrix of $J_m=\begin{pmatrix}0 & -Id\\ Id & 0\end{pmatrix}$ and $\phi_m=\begin{pmatrix}0 & M_m\\ N_m & 0\end{pmatrix}$. If $m=2$, then $\mathfrak{v}_2=\mathbb{H}$, J_2 is left multiplication by j and $\mathcal{L}_2=\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{i,j\}$. We can take $\phi_2=R_j$ the right multiplication by j. In this case $M_2=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}$ and $N_2=-M_2$. As $\phi_m=Id\otimes\phi_r$, an easy recursion shows that M_m is symmetric, $M_m^2=Id$ and $N_m=-M_m$, for all $m\equiv 2\,(\mathrm{mod}\,8)$. Now, $\mathfrak{v}_m^p=\mathbb{C}^p\otimes\mathfrak{v}_m$ and $\xi\in End_{C^+}(\mathfrak{v}_m^p)$ can be written as

$$\xi=\begin{pmatrix}A\otimes Id_{\mathcal{L}} & C\otimes M_m\\ B\otimes M_m & D\otimes Id_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}\end{pmatrix},\ \text{so } \xi\in\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}) \text{ if and only if } \begin{pmatrix}A & C\\ B & D\end{pmatrix} \text{ is in } Sp(p,\mathbb{C}).$$

□

Proposition 5.5.

$$\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})\cong U(p,\mathbb{H})/U(p).$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.6 the group of orthogonal automorphism, which is $U(p,\mathbb{H})$, acts transitively. Recall that $U(p,\mathbb{H})$ can be identified with the subgroup of $Sp(p,\mathbb{C})$ given by the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A & -\overline{B} \\ B & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix}$. To find the isotropy group of $\mathcal{L}_m$, we have that $\begin{pmatrix} A & =-\overline{B} \\ B & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_m \\ =0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ implies $B=0=$ and $A^t\overline{A}=1$, thus $A \in U(p)$. ☐

The case $m \equiv 3$

We begin with a

Lemma 5.6. *Let $m = 8s+3$ and let $\mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s} = \otimes \mathfrak{v}_3^{\pm}$ be the $C(m)$-module obtained by using repeatedly Proposition 4.3. Then, $\mathfrak{v}_m^{\pm} = \mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_3^{\pm}$. Moreover, let j_1, j_2, j_3 be canonical generators of $C(3)$, be $j'_1, \dots, j'_8$ be canonical generators of $C(8)$ and define*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{8k+t} &= K_8^{\otimes k} \otimes j'_t \otimes 1^{\otimes(s-k)}, \quad = \textit{ if } 0 \le k < s, 1 \le t \le 8; \\ J_{8s+t} &= K_8^{\otimes s} \otimes j_t, \qquad \textit{if } 1 \le t \le 3; \end{aligned}$$

where $K_8 = j'_1 \dots j'_8$. Then, $J_1, \dots, J_m$ are generators of $C(m)$ such that

$$J_i^2 = -1, \quad J_iJ_k = -J_kJ_i, \quad \textit{for } 1 \le i,k \le m \textit{ and } i \ne k.$$

Moreover, $K_m = J_1 \dots J_m = Id^{\otimes s} \otimes K_3$.

Proof. $\mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_3^{\pm}$ is irreducible and $K_m == Id^{\otimes s} \otimes K_3$ by Corollary 4.4. As $K_{m|\mathfrak{v}_m^{\pm}} = \pm Id$, we have $\mathfrak{v}_m^{\pm} = \mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_3^{\pm}$. The relations on the J_i's follow by straightforward computation.

☐

Proposition 5.7. *If $\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p_+,p_-)}) \ne \emptyset$, then $p_+ = p_-$.*

Proof. The trace of the operator K_m on $\mathfrak{v}$ is $tr(K_m) = (p_+ - p_-)\dim \mathfrak{v}_m^+$. We will prove that there exists a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ if and only if $tr(K_m) = 0$. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lagrangian, then $\mathcal{L}^\perp = J_i(\mathcal{L})$ is also a Lagrangian and $\mathfrak{v} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^\perp$. Since K_m is an odd product of J_i's, K_m sends $\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{L}^\perp$ and $\mathcal{L}^\perp$ to $\mathcal{L}$, thus $tr(K_m) = 0$, so $p_+ = p_-$. ☐

We will see later that the converse also holds. From now on, we consider only the case $p_+ = p_-$.

Next we will describe the intertwining operators between $(\mathfrak{v}_m^{\pm})^p$ and $(\mathfrak{v}_m^{\pm})^p$ as $C^+(m)$-modules. Using the explicit construction of an algebra of Heisenberg type with center of dimension 3 given by (2.5), we let $\phi : \mathfrak{v}_3^+ \to \mathfrak{v}_3^-$ be given by $\phi(u) = \overline{u}$. Then ϕ intertwines $\mathfrak{v}_3^+$ and $\mathfrak{v}_3^-$ as $C(3)^+$-modules.

Proposition 5.8. (1) *The $C^+(m)$-intertwining operators of $(\mathfrak{v}_m^+)^p$ (resp. $(\mathfrak{v}_m^-)^p$) are $Id \otimes R_{\overline{A}}$ (resp. $Id \otimes L_A$) with $A \in gl(p, \mathbb{H})$ and $R_{\overline{A}} : (\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p \to (\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p$ (resp. $L_A : (\mathfrak{v}_3^-)^p \to (\mathfrak{v}_3^-)^p$) denotes the right (resp. left) action, i.e. $R_X u = uX^t$ (resp. $L_X u = Xu$) with $X \in gl(p, \mathbb{H})$.*

(2) *Let $\varphi = K_8^{\otimes s} \otimes \phi$, then $\varphi : \mathfrak{v}_m^+ \to \mathfrak{v}_m^-$ intertwines the action of $C^+(m)$ and the intertwining operators between $(\mathfrak{v}_m^+)^p$ and $(\mathfrak{v}_m^-)^p$ (resp. $(\mathfrak{v}_m^-)^p$ and $(\mathfrak{v}_m^+)^p$) are $(Id \otimes L_A)\varphi$ (resp. $(Id \otimes R_{\overline{A}})\varphi$) with $A \in gl(p, \mathbb{H})$.*

Proof. (1) Let $m = 8s + 3$. For $s = 0$, the result follows by Schur's Lemma. For $s = 1$, let θ be an intertwining operator of $(\mathfrak{v}_m^+)^p$. We can write $\theta \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i$ where $\beta_i : (\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p \to (\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p$ are linear independent and $\alpha_i : \mathfrak{v}_8^p \to \mathfrak{v}_8^p$. Now, θ commutes with $C^+(8) \otimes Id$, therefore the α_i's commute with the action of $C^+(8)$. Thus, $\alpha_i = a_i Id + b_i K_8$ and

$$\theta = Id \otimes (\sum_i a_i \beta_i) + K_8 \otimes (\sum b_i \beta_i).$$

Since θ commutes with $Id \otimes C^+(3)$, $\sum_i a_i \beta_i = R_q$ and $\sum b_i \beta_i = R_{q'}$ for some $q, q' \in \mathbb{H}$. Finally, since K_8 anti-commutes with j_i' (cf. Lemma 5.6) q' must be zero. The case $s > 1$ is similar.

(2) It easy to see that φ commutes with the action of $C^+(m)$ and, therefore, that any intertwining operator is composition of φ with an operator from the first part of the proposition.

□

Set

$$\mathfrak{v}_m^{(p_+, p_-)} := (\mathfrak{v}_m^+)^{p_+} \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_m^-)^{p_-}.$$

Recall that if $m = 8s + 3$,

$$\mathfrak{v}_m^{(p_+, p_-)} = (\mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_3^+)^{p_+} \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_3^-)^{p_-}. \tag{5.3}$$

Corollary 5.9.

$$End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v}_m^{(p,p)}) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} Id \otimes R_{\overline{A}} & (Id \otimes R_{\overline{C}})\varphi \\ (Id \otimes L_B)\varphi & Id \otimes L_D \end{pmatrix} : \text{ with } A, B, C, D \in gl(p, \mathbb{H}) \}, \tag{5.4}$$

where the blocks are with respect to the decomposition (5.3).

Remark 5.10. If we view $(\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p$ as a real space with inner product defined as in (2.5), then for $X \in gl(p, \mathbb{H})$ the transpose of $R_X : (\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p \to (\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p$ is R_{X^*}, where $X^* = \overline{X}^t$. In analogous way, the transpose of $L_X : (\mathfrak{v}_3^-)^p \to (\mathfrak{v}_3^-)^p$ is L_{X^*}. Writing ϕ in a canonical basis, we see that it is symmetric.

Lemma 5.11. *For all $A, B, C, D \in gl(p, \mathbb{H})$,*

(1) *$\phi L_A = R_{\overline{A}} \phi$ and $\phi R_A = L_{\overline{A}} \phi$,*

$$(2)\quad \begin{pmatrix} Id\otimes R_{\overline{A}} & (Id\otimes R_{\overline{C}})=\varphi \\ (Id\otimes L_B)\varphi & Id\otimes L_D \end{pmatrix}^t = \begin{pmatrix} Id\otimes R_{A^t} & (Id\otimes R_{B^t})\varphi \\ (Id\otimes L_{C^*})\varphi & Id\otimes L_{D^*} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. (1) This follows from $\overline{Ax}=\overline{x}=\overline{A}^t$ and $\overline{xA}=\overline{A}^t\overline{x}$.

(2) If $m=3$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_{\overline{A}} & R_{\overline{C}}\phi \\ L_B\phi & L_D = \end{pmatrix}^t = \begin{pmatrix} (R_{\overline{A}})^t & (L_B\phi)^t \\ =(R_{\overline{C}}\phi)^t & (L_D)^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, using Remark 5.10 and (1), we have $(L_B\phi)^t=\phi^t(L_B)^t=\phi L_{B^*}=R_{B^t}\phi$. In analogous way we have $(R_{\overline{C}}\phi)^t=L_{C^*}\phi$. For $m>3$ the result follows easily from the case $m=3$ and the fact that $\varphi=K_8^{\otimes s}\otimes\phi$ is symmetric. ☐

Remark 5.12. $End_{C^+(3)}(\mathfrak{v}_3^{(p,p)})$ is an associative algebra isomorphic to $gl(\mathbb{H},2p)$, under the isomorphism Θ:

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_{\overline{A}} & R_{\overline{C}}\phi \\ L_B\phi & L_D \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & Id \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{\overline{A}} & R_{\overline{C}}\phi \\ L_B\phi & L_D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & Id \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_A & L_C \\ L_B & L_D \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, if $U=\begin{pmatrix} R_{\overline{A}} & R_{\overline{C}}\phi \\ L_B\phi & L_D \end{pmatrix}$, then $\Theta(U^t)=\Theta(U)^*$. From (5.4), it is clear that $End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v}_m^{(2p)})$ is an associative algebra isomorphic to $End_{C^+(3)}(\mathfrak{v}_3^{(p,p)})$, so we can view Θ as an isomorphism $\Theta: End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v}_m^{(p,p)})\to gl(\mathbb{H},2p)$.

Recall that the group $Sp(p,q)$ is defined as

$$Sp(p,q)=\{X\in GL(p+q,\mathbb{H}) : X^*I_{p,q}X=I_{p,q}\},$$

where $I_{p,q}=\begin{pmatrix} Id_p & 0 \\ 0 & -Id_q \end{pmatrix}$ (see [K], p. 70).

Proposition 5.13 ([S]). *Θ defines an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p,p)})\cong Sp(p,p).$$

More explicitly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Id\otimes R_{\overline{A}} & (Id\otimes R_{\overline{C}})\varphi \\ (Id\otimes L_B)\varphi & Id\otimes L_D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(2p)})$$

if and only if

$$A^*A - B^*B = Id, \tag{5.5}$$

$$C^*C - D^*D = -Id \tag{5.6}$$

$$A^*C - B^*D = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. By (2.2)

$$\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p,p)}) = \{U \in End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v}_m^{(2p)}) : U^t J_i U = J_i, \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Moreover, since m is odd, this is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p,p)}) = \{U \in End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v}_m^{(p,p)}) : U^t K_m U = K_m\}. \tag{5.8}$$

Consider the real canonical basis $1, i, j, k$ of $\mathfrak{v}_3^{\pm} = \mathbb{H}$ and $\{e_i\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s}$, then $\{e_i \otimes 1, e_i \otimes i, e_i \otimes j, e_i \otimes k\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{v}_m^{\pm}$. With respect to this basis $K_m : \mathfrak{v}_m^{(p,p)} \to \mathfrak{v}_m^{(p,p)}$ has a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} Id_{\mathfrak{v}_m^+} & 0 \\ 0 & -Id_{\mathfrak{v}_m^-} \end{pmatrix}$. Now, $U \in \mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(2p)})$ if and only if $U^t K_m U = K_m$ if and only if $\Theta(U^t K_m U) = \Theta(K_m)$ if and only if $\Theta(U)^* I_{p,p} \Theta(U) = I_{p,p}$ if and only if $\Theta(U) \in Sp(p,p)$. □

Let us recall by Proposition 4.3 that the bracket in $\mathfrak{v}_m^{\pm} = \mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_3^{\pm}$ is given by:

$$[v_1 \otimes u_1, v_2 \otimes u_2] = [v_1, v_2]\langle u_1, u_2\rangle + \langle K_8^{\otimes s} v_1, v_2\rangle [u_1, u_2],$$

where $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s}$ and $u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{v}_3^{\pm}$.

Lemma 5.14.

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{v \otimes u + \varphi(v \otimes u) : \quad v \in \mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s}, u \in (\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p\}$$

is a Lagrangian subspace.

Proof. Recall that $\varphi = K_8^{\otimes s} \otimes \phi$. Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s}$ and $u_1, u_2 \in (\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p$, then we have to see that

$$[v_1 \otimes u_1 + K_8^{\otimes s} v_1 \otimes \phi u_1, v_2 \otimes u_2 + K_8^{\otimes s} v_2 \otimes \phi u_2] = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

First, using the fact that $K_8^2 = 1$, $K_8^t = K_8$ it is easy to see, by induction, that $[K_8^{\otimes s} v_1, K_8^{\otimes s} v_2] = -[v_1, v_2]$ and $\langle v_1, K_8^{\otimes s} v_2\rangle = \langle K_8^{\otimes s} v_1, v_2\rangle$. Also $[\phi u_1, \phi u_2]_{\mathfrak{v}^-} = -[u_1, u_2]_{\mathfrak{v}^+}$ and $\langle \phi u_1, \phi u_2\rangle = \langle u_1, u_2\rangle$

(see (2.5) and (2.7)). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
[v_1 \otimes u_1 + K_8^{\otimes s} v_1 \otimes \phi u_1, v_2 \otimes u_2 + K_8^{\otimes s} v_2 \otimes \phi u_2] &= \\
&= [v_1 \otimes u_1, v_2 \otimes u_2] + [K_8^{\otimes s} v_1 \otimes \phi u_1, K_8^{\otimes s} v_2 \otimes \phi u_2] \\
&= [v_1 \otimes u_1, v_2 \otimes u_2] + [K_8^{\otimes s} v_1, K_8^{\otimes s} v_2]\langle \phi u_1, \phi u_2\rangle + \langle (K_8^2)^{\otimes s} v_1, K_8^{\otimes s} v_2\rangle[\phi u_1, \phi u_2] \\
&= [v_1 \otimes u_1, v_2 \otimes u_2] - [v_1, v_2]\langle u_1, u_2\rangle - \langle v_1, K_8^{\otimes s} v_2\rangle [u_1, u_2] \\
&= [v_1 \otimes u_1, v_2 \otimes u_2] - [v_1, v_2]\langle u_1, u_2\rangle - \langle K_8^{\otimes s} v_1, v_2\rangle [u_1, u_2] \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.15. *Let* $A, B, C, D \in gl(p, \mathbb{H})$*, then*

$$\mathcal{W} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} Id \otimes R_{\overline{A}} & (Id \otimes R_{\overline{C}})\varphi \\ (Id \otimes L_B)\varphi & Id \otimes L_D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \otimes u \\ \varphi(v \otimes u) = \end{pmatrix} : v \in \mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s}, u \in (\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p \},$$

is Lagrangian if and only if

$$(A + C)^*(A + C) - (B + D)^*(B + D) = 0. \tag{5.10}$$

and $A + C$ *and* $B + D$ *are non singular.*

Proof. Every $C^+(m)$-module is of the form:

$$\mathcal{W} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} Id \otimes R_{\overline{A}} & (Id \otimes R_{\overline{C}})\varphi \\ (Id \otimes L_B)\varphi & Id \otimes L_D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \otimes u \\ \varphi(v \otimes u) = \end{pmatrix} : v \in \mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s}, u \in (\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p \},$$

for some $A, B, C, D \in gl(p, \mathbb{H})$. Now we impose that $\mathcal{W}$ be isotropic. First,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Id \otimes R_{\overline{A}} & (Id \otimes R_{\overline{C}}) = \varphi \\ (Id \otimes L_B)\varphi & Id \otimes L_D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \otimes u \\ \varphi(v \otimes u) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \otimes R_{\overline{A+C}} u \\ (Id \otimes = L_{B+D})\varphi(v \otimes u) \end{pmatrix},$$

Then the bracket of two elements of $\mathcal{W}$ is of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
(*) \quad & [v_1 \otimes R_{\overline{A+C}} u_1 + (Id \otimes L_{B+D})\varphi(v_1 \otimes u_1), v_2 \otimes R_{\overline{A+C}} u_2 + (Id \otimes L_{B+D})\varphi(v_2 \otimes u_2)] \\
& = [v_1 \otimes R_{\overline{A+C}} u_1, v_2 \otimes R_{\overline{A+C}} u_2] + [(Id \otimes L_{B+D})\varphi(v_1 \otimes u_1), (Id \otimes L_{B+D})\varphi(v_2 \otimes u_2)].
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$[v_1 \otimes R_{\overline{A+C}} u_1, v_2 \otimes R_{\overline{A+C}} u_2] = [v_1, v_2]\langle R_{\overline{A+C}} u_1, R_{\overline{A+C}} u_2\rangle + \langle K_8^{\otimes s} v_1, v_2\rangle [R_{\overline{A+C}} u_1, R_{\overline{A+C}} u_2],$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[(Id \otimes L_{B+D})\varphi(v_1 \otimes u_1), (Id \otimes L_{B+D})\varphi(v_2 \otimes u_2)] \\
&\quad = [K_8^{\otimes s} v_1, K_8^{\otimes s} v_2]\langle L_{B+D}\phi u_1, L_{B+D}\phi u_2\rangle + \langle (K_8^2)^{\otimes s} v_1, K_8^{\otimes s} v_2\rangle [L_{B+D}\phi u_1, L_{B+D}\phi u_2] \\
&\quad = -[v_1, v_2]\langle L_{B+D}\phi u_1, L_{B+D}\phi u_2\rangle + \langle K_8^{\otimes s} v_1, v_2\rangle [L_{B+D}\phi u_1, L_{B+D}\phi u_2] \\
&\quad = -[v_1, v_2]\langle \phi R_{\overline{B+D}} u_1, \phi R_{\overline{B+D}} u_2\rangle + \langle K_8^{\otimes s} v_1, v_2\rangle [\phi R_{\overline{B+D}} u_1, \phi R_{\overline{B+D}} u_2] \\
&\quad = -[v_1, v_2]\langle R_{\overline{B+D}} u_1, R_{\overline{B+D}} u_2\rangle - \langle K_8^{\otimes s} v_1, v_2\rangle [R_{\overline{B+D}} u_1, R_{\overline{B+D}} u_2].
\end{aligned}
$$

The RHS of (*) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[v_1, v_2](\langle R_{\overline{A+C}} u_1, R_{\overline{A+C}} u_2\rangle - \langle R_{\overline{B+D}} u_1, R_{\overline{B+D}} u_2\rangle) \\
&\qquad + \langle K_8^{\otimes s} v_1, v_2\rangle([R_{\overline{A+C}} u_1, R_{\overline{A+C}} u_2] - [R_{\overline{B+D}} u_1, R_{\overline{B+D}} u_2]).
\end{aligned}
$$

Varying v_1, v_2 we have that the the RHS of (*) is equal to 0 if and only if:

$$\langle R_{\overline{A+C}} u_1, R_{\overline{A+C}} u_2\rangle - \langle R_{\overline{B+D}} u_1, R_{\overline{B+D}} u_2\rangle = 0 \tag{5.11}$$

$$[R_{\overline{A+C}} u_1, R_{\overline{A+C}} u_2] - [R_{\overline{B+D}} u_1, R_{\overline{B+D}} u_2] = 0, \tag{5.12}$$

for all $u_1, u_2 \in (\mathfrak{v}_3^+)^p$. Thus, equations (2.5) and (2.7) imply the result. □

Proposition 5.16.

$$\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p,p)}) \cong U(p,\mathbb{H}) \times U(p,\mathbb{H})/(U(p,\mathbb{H}) \times Id) = U(p,\mathbb{H})$$

$$\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p,q)}) = \emptyset \qquad p \neq q$$

Proof. If $\mathcal{L}$ is Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{v}$, then every element x in $\mathcal{L}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
x = \begin{pmatrix} Id \otimes R_{\overline{A}} & (Id \otimes R_{\overline{C}})\varphi \\ (Id \otimes L_B)\varphi & Id \otimes L_D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \otimes u \\ \varphi(v \otimes u) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} v \otimes R_{\overline{A+C}} u \\ (Id \otimes L_{B+D})\varphi(v \otimes u) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} v \otimes u' \\ (Id \otimes L_{(B+D)(A+C)^{-1}}\varphi(v \otimes u') \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \psi \begin{pmatrix} v \otimes u' \\ \varphi(v \otimes u') \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} Id \otimes Id & 0 \\ 0 & Id \otimes L_{D'} \end{pmatrix}$, with $D' = (B+D)(A+C)^{-1}$. Since D' is unitary by equation (5.10), $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p,p)}) \cong U(p,\mathbb{H}) \times U(p,\mathbb{H})$. It is clear that the isotropy group is $U(p,\mathbb{H}) \times Id$. □

The case $m \equiv 4$

Let $\mathfrak{v}_m = \mathfrak{w}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{w}_-$ be the decomposition of the $C(m)$-module into the eigenspaces of K_m of eigenvalues ±1. Thus, $\mathfrak{v}_m^p = \mathfrak{w}_+^p \oplus \mathfrak{w}_-^p$.

Lemma 5.17. *Let $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathfrak{w}_+^p$ be a $C^+(m)$-submodule and $\mathcal{L}_1^\perp$ its orthogonal complement in $\mathfrak{w}_+^p$. Then,*

1. *$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 + J_m(\mathcal{L}_1^\perp)$ is a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathfrak{v}_m^p$.*
2. *Every Lagrangian is of this form.*

Proof. (1) It is clear that the dimension of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\frac{1}{2}\dim(\mathfrak{v}_m^p)$. As $\mathfrak{w}_+^p$ and $\mathfrak{w}_-^p$ are isotropic, we must only verify that $[\mathcal{L}_1, J_m(\mathcal{L}_1^\perp)] = 0$. This follows since for every $z \in \mathfrak{z}_m$ we have:

$$\langle z, [\mathcal{L}_1, J_m(\mathcal{L}_1^\perp)]\rangle = \langle J_z(\mathcal{L}_1), J_m(\mathcal{L}_1^\perp)\rangle = -\langle J_m J_z(\mathcal{L}_1), \mathcal{L}_1^\perp\rangle = -\langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_1^\perp\rangle = 0.$$

(2) Let $\mathcal{L}$ be any Lagrangian, by Corollary 4.2, $\mathcal{L}$ is a $C^+(m)$-module and it can be decomposed as $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-1}$ as eigenspaces of K_m. Now,

$$\langle J_m(\mathcal{L}_1), \mathcal{L}_{-1}\rangle = \langle z_m, [\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_{-1}]\rangle = 0.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{L}_{-1} \subset J_m(\mathcal{L}_1)^\perp = J_m(\mathcal{L}_1^\perp)$, therefore , by dimension, $\mathcal{L}_{-1} = J_m(\mathcal{L}_1^\perp)$. □

Proposition 5.18. $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$ *has* $p+1$ *orbits in* $\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$*, of the form*

$$U(p, \mathbb{H})/U(r, \mathbb{H}) \times U(p-r, \mathbb{H})$$

$r = 0, \ldots, p$.

Proof. From the Lemma 5.17, every Lagrangian is determined by a $C^+(m)$-module of $\mathfrak{w}_+^p$. Any $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$ preserves $\mathfrak{w}_\pm$. Furthermore, given any pair $\mathcal{L}_1$, $\mathcal{L}_1'$ of $C^+(m)$-submodules of $\mathfrak{w}_+^p$ of the same dimension there exists a non singular $\psi \in End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{w}_+^p)$ such that $\psi\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1'$. Moreover, ψ may be taken orthogonal with respect to the inner product. Indeed as ψ is non singular and ψ^* is also in $End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{w}_+^p)$ we have that $\xi = (\psi\psi^*)^{-1/2}\psi \in End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{w}_+^p)$ is orthogonal and $\xi\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1'$. Now we extend ξ to an element of $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$ as $\xi(J_m(w)) = J_m\xi(w)$ for all $w \in \mathfrak{w}_+^p$. For each $i = 0, \ldots, p$, fix $\mathcal{L}_1^{(r)}$ any $C^+(m)$-submodule of $\mathfrak{w}_+^p$ of dimension $r.\dim(\mathfrak{w}_+)$. Then $\mathcal{L}^{(r)} = \mathcal{L}_1^{(r)} + J_m((\mathcal{L}_1^{(r)})^\perp)$, $r = 0, \ldots, p$, are representatives of each orbit. From [S], any $\xi \in \mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$ can be written as $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & (A^*)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $A \in Gl(p, \mathbb{H})$, with respect to any basis compatible with the decomposition $\mathfrak{w}_+^p \oplus \mathfrak{w}_-^p$. Thus, with this identification, $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)}) \cong U(p, \mathbb{H}) \subset Gl(p, \mathbb{H})$ and $U(r, \mathbb{H}) \times U(p-r, \mathbb{H})$ is the isotropy subgroup of $\mathcal{L}^{(r)}$. □

The case $m \equiv 5$

Consider the inclusion $C(m-1) \hookrightarrow C(m)$ via $J_i \mapsto J_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, m-1)$. Then $\mathfrak{v}_m$ is an irreducible $C(m-1)$-module, so $\mathfrak{v}_m^p = \mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^p$. Denote by $\mathfrak{w}_\pm^p \subset \mathfrak{v}_m^p$ the eigenspace of K_{m-1} of eigenvalue ±1. Clearly, $\mathfrak{w}_\pm^p$ is a $C^+(m-1)$-module.

Lemma 5.19. *$\mathcal{L}$ is a Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)}$ if and only if there exists a $C^+(m-1)$-submodule $\mathcal{L}_+ \subset \mathfrak{w}_+^p$ such that $J_m(\mathcal{L}_+) = \mathcal{L}_+^\perp$, $\dim(\mathcal{L}_+) = \frac{1}{2}\dim \mathfrak{w}_+^p$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus J_{m-1}J_m(\mathcal{L}_+)$. Here $\mathcal{L}_+^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{L}_+$ in $\mathfrak{w}_+^p$.*

Proof. Any Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathfrak{v}_m^p$ is also a Lagrangian in $\mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^p$ and we have seen in section 5, that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus J_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}_+^\perp)$, where $\mathcal{L}_+$ is a $C^+(m-1)$-submodule of $\mathfrak{w}_+^p$. Now, J_m commutes with K_{m-1}, so J_m preserves $\mathfrak{w}_\pm^p$ and $J_m(\mathcal{L}_+) \subset \mathfrak{w}_+^p$. Since $\mathcal{L}_+$ is isotropic, $\langle J_m(\mathcal{L}_+), \mathcal{L}_+\rangle = 0$, thus $J_m(\mathcal{L}_+) = \mathcal{L}_+^\perp$ and $\mathfrak{w}_+^p = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus J_m(\mathcal{L}_+)$. Conversely, given $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus J_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}_+^\perp)$, it is clear that $\langle z_m, [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]\rangle = 0$, and this implies that $\mathcal{L}$ is Lagrangian. □

Remark 5.20. As $\dim(\mathcal{L}_+) = \frac{1}{2}\dim \mathfrak{w}_+^p$ and $\mathcal{L}_+$ is a $C^+(m)$-module, p is even and $\mathcal{L}_+$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{w}_+^{p/2}$ as $C^+(m)$-module.

We see that there are no lagrangians in $\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)}$ unless p is even. Put

$$p = 2q$$

so q is arbitrary natural number.

Lemma 5.21. *$\mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^q \otimes \mathfrak{v}_1$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{v}_m^{2p}$ as $C(m)$-modules.*

Proof. $\mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^q \otimes \mathfrak{v}_1$ has an structure of $C(m)$-module given by Proposition 4.3. For $q = 1$ the results follow by dimension. For $q > 1$, $\mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^q \otimes \mathfrak{v}_1 = (\mathfrak{v}_{m-1} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_1)^q = \mathfrak{v}_m^p$. □

By Lemma 5.21, we can consider $\mathfrak{n}_m = \mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^q \otimes \mathfrak{v}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{z}$. Therefore, by Proposition 4.3, $J_m : \mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^q \otimes \mathfrak{v}_1 \to \mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^q \otimes \mathfrak{v}_1$ is given by $K_{m-1} \otimes j_1$.

Proposition 5.22. *Let $1, i$ a basis of $\mathfrak{v}_1$*

1. *$\mathfrak{w}_+^p = \mathfrak{w}_+^q \otimes \mathfrak{v}_1$ is the eigenspace of K_{m-1} of eigenvalue* 1.
2. *With $\mathcal{L}_+ = \mathfrak{w}_+^q \otimes \mathbb{R}1$,*

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus J_{m-1}J_m(\mathcal{L}_+)$$

is a Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{n}$.

Proof. (1) The result follows since $K_{m-1} : \mathfrak{v}^q_{m-1} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_1 \to \mathfrak{v}^q_{m-1} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_1$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{v}_1$.

(2) Clearly $\mathcal{L}_+$ is a $C^+(m-1)$-module and $J_m = K_{m-1} \otimes j_1$ sends $\mathcal{L}_+$ to $(\mathcal{L}_+)^\perp = \mathfrak{w}^q_+ \otimes \mathbb{R}i$. Thus by Lemma 5.19 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus J_{m-1}J_m(\mathcal{L}_+)$ is a Lagrangian. □

Proposition 5.23.

$$\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}^{(2q)}_m) \cong U(2q)/U(q,\mathbb{H})$$

$$\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}^{(2q+1)}_m) = \emptyset$$

Proof. By Schur we have that $End_{C^+(m-1)}(\mathfrak{w}^{2q}_+)$ is equal to $Gl(p,\mathbb{H})$. As $\mathfrak{w}^{2q}_+ = (\mathfrak{w}^q_+ \otimes \mathbb{R}1) \oplus (\mathfrak{w}^q_+ \otimes \mathbb{R}i)$ as $C^+(m-1)$-module, every $C^+(m-1)$-intertwining operator is of the form $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{pmatrix}$ where $A, B, C, D \in Gl(q,\mathbb{H})$. Moreover, with respect to this decomposition $J_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -Id \\ Id & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $\mathcal{L}_1$ be any Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{n}$, then $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}^+_1 \oplus J_{m-1}J_m(\mathcal{L}^+_1)$, where $\mathcal{L}^+_1 = \{\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} w \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : w \in \mathcal{L}_+\}$. We can choose $B = -C$, $D = A$ and $A^*A + C^*C = 1$. Now, $J_m(\mathcal{L}^+_1) = (\mathcal{L}^+_1)^\perp$ if and only if for $w, v \in \mathcal{L}_+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle J_m \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ -C & A = \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} w \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ = -C & A \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\rangle \\ &= \langle J_m \begin{pmatrix} Aw \\ -Cw \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} = Av \\ -Cv \end{pmatrix}\rangle \\ &= \langle \begin{pmatrix} Cw \\ Aw \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Av \\ -Cv \end{pmatrix}\rangle = \langle (A^*C - C^*A)w, v\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $J_m(\mathcal{L}^+_1) = (\mathcal{L}^+_1)^\perp$ if and only if $A^*C - C^*A = 0$.

On the other hand, we can extend $\xi \in End_{C^+(m-1)}(\mathfrak{w}^p_+)$ to an operator $\tilde{\xi}$ in $End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v}^{2q}_m)$ acting on $\mathfrak{w}^{2q}_-$ by $v \mapsto J_{m-1}J_m\xi J_m J_{m-1}v$. It is clear that $\tilde{\xi}$ commutes with $C^+(m)$. By (2.2), $\tilde{\xi}$ is in $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$ if and only if

$$J_m = \xi^t J_m \xi = \begin{pmatrix} A^* & -C^* \\ C^* & A^* \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ -C & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

So $\tilde{\xi}$ is in $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$ if and only if ξ satisfies $A^*C = C^*A$ and $A^*A + C^*C = 1$. The isotropy subgroup of $\mathcal{L}$ is given by $C = 0$ and thus $A \in U(q,\mathbb{H})$. Since, $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}^n_m) \cong Gl(n,\mathbb{H}) \cap O(2n,\mathbb{C})$ and the group of orthogonal automorphisms is $U(n)$ (cf. [R][S]), we have that the variety of Lagrangians is indeed $U(2q)/U(q,\mathbb{H})$, and any Lagrangian is in $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})\mathcal{L}$. □

The case $m \equiv 6$

The inclusions $C(m-2) \subset C(m-1) \subset C(m)$ show that $\mathfrak{v}_m$ is an irreducible $C(m-2)$-module – hence $\mathfrak{v}_m^p = \mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^p = \mathfrak{v}_{m-2}^p$. Denote by $\mathfrak{w}_\pm^p \subset \mathfrak{v}_m^p$ the eigenspace of K_{m-2} of eigenvalue ± 1. As in the previous case we have that any Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus J_{m-1}J_{m-2}(\mathcal{L}_+)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_+$ is a $C^+(m-2)$-module.

Proposition 5.24. *$\mathcal{L}$ is Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{v}_m^p$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ is a K_m-invariant Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^p$.*

Proof. Any Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{v}_m^p$ is Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^p$ and as it is $C^+(m)$-module is K_m-invariant. Conversely, let $\mathcal{L}$ be a K_m-invariant Lagrangian of $\mathfrak{v}_{m-1}^p$. By Lemma 5.19, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus J_{m-2}J_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}_+)$, where $\mathcal{L}_+$ is a $C^+(m-2)$-module and $J_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}_+) = (\mathcal{L}_+)^\perp$. Let's see first that $J_m(\mathcal{L}_+) = (\mathcal{L}_+)^\perp$. Indeed, $K_m(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$ and $K_m(\mathfrak{w}_\pm^p) = \mathfrak{w}_\pm^p$, thus $K_m(\mathcal{L}_+) = \mathcal{L}_+$. On the other hand, $K_{m-2}(\mathcal{L}_+) = \mathcal{L}_+$, $J_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}_+) = (\mathcal{L}_+)^\perp$ and $J_mJ_{m-1} = K_mK_{m-2}$ leaves $\mathcal{L}_+$ invariant. So $J_m(\mathcal{L}_+) = (\mathcal{L}_+)^\perp$. It is follows immediately that $[\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_+] = 0$ and $[J_{m-2}J_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}_+), J_{m-2}J_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}_+)] = 0$. As $J_m(\mathcal{L}_+) = (\mathcal{L}_+)^\perp \subset \mathfrak{w}_+^p$ and $\mathfrak{w}_+^p \perp \mathfrak{w}_-^p$ we have $\langle J_m(\mathcal{L}_+), J_{m-2}J_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}_+)\rangle = 0$. This implies that $[\mathcal{L}_+, J_{m-2}J_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}_+)] = 0$. □

Proposition 5.25.

$$\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(2q)}) \cong O(2q)/O(q) \times O(q)$$

$$\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(2q+1)}) = \emptyset$$

Proof. To compute $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n})$, note that any irreducible $C^+(m)$-module has a complex structure given by K_m. So, $End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}_p$. By (2.2), $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}) \cong O(p,\mathbb{C}) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}_p : \xi^t\xi = Id\}$. Also, $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{n}) \cong O(p)$. With respect to the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{v}_m^p = \mathcal{L} \oplus J_m(\mathcal{L})$$

we write $\xi \in O(p)$ as $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$. Then the isotropy group of $\mathcal{L}$ is constituted by the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$, with $A, D \in O(q)$, thus the result follows. □

The case $m \equiv 7$

The first part is identical to the case $\dim(\mathfrak{z}) \equiv 3$. Write $\mathfrak{v}_m^{\pm} = \mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s} \otimes \mathfrak{v}_7^{\pm}$, let $\phi : \mathfrak{v}_7^+ \to \mathfrak{v}_7^-$ be given by $\phi(x) = \overline{x}$, where conjugation is octonionic, and define $\varphi = K_8^{\otimes s} \otimes \phi : \mathfrak{v}_m^+ \to \mathfrak{v}_m^-$. We also see that

$$\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p_+,p_-)} = ((\mathfrak{v}_m^+)^{p_+} \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_m^-)^{p_-} \oplus \mathfrak{z}_m$$

has a Lagrangian if and only if $p_+ = p_-$. Write as before

$$\mathfrak{v}_m^{(p,p)} = (\mathfrak{v}_m^+)^p \oplus (\mathfrak{v}_m^-)^p, \qquad \mathfrak{n}_m^{(p,p)} = \mathfrak{v}_m^{(2p)} \oplus \mathfrak{z}_m.$$

Then

Proposition 5.26. (1)

$$End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{v}_m^{(p,p)}) \simeq \{\begin{pmatrix} Id \otimes A & (Id \otimes C)\varphi \\ (Id \otimes B)\varphi & Id \otimes D \end{pmatrix} : \text{ with } A, B, C, D \in gl(p, \mathbb{R})\},$$

where the matrices are written with respect to the above decomposition.

(2) $\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p,p)}) \cong O(p,p)$ *and* $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{n}_m^p) \cong O(p) \times O(p)$.

(3) $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{v \otimes u + \varphi(v \otimes u) : v \in \mathfrak{v}_8^{\otimes s}, u \in (\mathfrak{v}_7^+)^p\}$ *is a Lagrangian subspace.*

(4) *The group* $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p,p)})$ *acts transitively on the variety of Lagrangians subspaces.*

Proof. (1) is proved as in Proposition 5.7. For (2) we notice first that the space of intertwining operators of $(\mathfrak{v}_7^{\pm})^p$ is $gl(p, \mathbb{R})$. The rest of the proof follows the lines of Proposition 5.8. (3) follows as in Proposition 5.13, noticing that X^* must be replaced by X^t. (4) is proved exactly as Lemma 5.14. (5) is proved along the lines of the propositions 5.15 and 5.16. ☐

Corollary 5.27.

$$\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p,p)}) \cong O(p) \times O(p)/(O(p) \times Id) \cong O(p)$$

$$\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p,q)}) = \emptyset \qquad (p \neq q)$$

The case $m \equiv 8$

The argument parallels that of the case $m \equiv 4$, except that the last Proposition should be replaced by

Proposition 5.28. *$\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$ has $p+1$ orbits in $\mathrm{Lag}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$, of the form*

$$O(p)/O(r)\times O(p-r)$$

$r=0,\dots,p$

Proof. From the Lemma 5.17, every Lagrangian is determined by a $C^+(m)$-module of $\mathfrak{w}_+^p$. Any $\psi\in\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$ preserves $\mathfrak{w}_\pm$. Furthermore, given any pair $\mathcal{L}_1$, $\mathcal{L}_1'$ of $C^+(m)$-submodules of $\mathfrak{w}_+^p$ of the same dimension there exists a non singular $\psi\in End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{w}_+^p)$ such that $\psi\mathcal{L}_1=\mathcal{L}_1'$. Moreover, ψ may be taken orthogonal with respect to the inner product. Indeed as ψ is non singular and ψ^* is also in $End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{w}_+^p)$ we have that $\xi=(\psi\psi^*)^{-1/2}\psi\in End_{C^+(m)}(\mathfrak{w}_+^p)$ is orthogonal and $\xi\mathcal{L}_1=\mathcal{L}_1'$. Now we extend ξ to an element of $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$ as $\xi(J_m(w))=J_m\xi(w)$ for all $w\in\mathfrak{w}_+^p$. For each $i=0,\dots,p$, fix $\mathcal{L}_1^{(r)}$ any $C^+(m)$-submodule of $\mathfrak{w}_+^p$ of dimension $r.\dim(\mathfrak{w}_+)$. Then $\mathcal{L}^{(r)}=\mathcal{L}_1^{(r)}+J_m((\mathcal{L}_1^{(r)})^\perp)$, $r=0,\dots,p$, are representatives of each orbit. From [S], any $\xi\in\mathrm{Aut}_o(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})$ can be written as $\begin{pmatrix}A & 0\\ 0 & (A^*)^{-1}\end{pmatrix}$ where $A\in Gl(p,\mathbb{R})$, with respect to any basis compatible with the decomposition $\mathfrak{w}_+^p\oplus\mathfrak{w}_-^p$. With this identification $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)})\cong O(p)\subset Gl(p,\mathbb{R})$ and $O(r)\times O(p-r)$ is the isotropy subgroup of $\mathcal{L}^{(r)}$.

□

REFERENCES

[B] Buliga M., *Symplectic, Hofer and sub-Riemannian geometry*, math.SG/0201107 (2002)

[BtD] Bröcker T. and tom Dieck T., *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Springer-Verlag (1985)

[CGN1] Capogna L., Garofalo N. and Nhieu D.M., *Properties of harmonic measures in the Dirichlet problem for nilpotent Lie groups of Heisenberg type*, Amer. J. of Math. 124/2, 273–306 (2002)

[CGN2] Capogna L., Garofalo N. and Nhieu D.M., *Examples of Uniform and NTA domains in Carnot groups*, Proc. Analysis and Geometry, Novosibirsk (1999)

[DR] Damek E., Ricci F., *A class of nonsymmetric harmonic Riemannian spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 27/1, 139-142 (1992)

[DGN] Danielli D., Garofalo N. and Nhieu D.M., *Notions of convexity in Carnot groups*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 11, 263-341 (2003)

[DGGW] DeTurck D., Gluck H., Gordon C. and Webb D., *The inaudible geometry of nilmanifolds*, Invent. Math. 111, 271-284 (1993)

[GV] Garofalo, N. y Vassilev D., *Symmetry properies of positive entire solutions of Yamabe-type equations on groups of Heisenberg type*, Duke Math. J., 106/3, 411-448 (2001)

[GW] Gordon C. and Wilson E., *Continuous families of isospectral Riemannian manifolds which are not locally isometric*, J. Diff. Geom. 47, 504-529 (1997)

[Hu] Husemoller D., *Fibre bundles*, Springer-Verlag (1975)

[K] Knapp A., *Lie groups: beyond an introduction*. Progress in Mathematics 140, Birkhäuser (1996)

[Ka1] Kaplan A., *Fundamental solutions for a class of hipoelliptic PDE generated by composition of quadratic forms.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 259, 145-153 (1980)

[Ka2] Kaplan A., *On the geometry of groups of Heisenberg type*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 15, 35-42 (1983)

[L] Lauret J., *Commutative spaces which are not weakly symmetric*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 30/1, 29-36 (1998)

[LV] Lion G. and Vergne M., *The Weil representation, Maslov index and Theta series.* Progress in Mathematics, 6, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin (1980)

[R] Riehm, C., *The automorphism group of a composition of quadratic forms.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269, 403-415 (1982)

[S] Saal L., *The automorphism group of Lie algebras of Heisenberg type.* Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino, 54/2, 101-113 (1996)

[Sz1] Szabo Z., Isospectral pairs of metrics on balls, spheres and other manifolds with different local geometries. Ann. of Math., 154/2, 437-475, (2001)

[Sz2] Szabo Z., *A cornucopia of isospectral pairs of metrics*, Annals of Math., 161/1, 343 - 395 (2005)

CIEM (CONICET) and FaMAF (UNC), Ciudad Universitaria, Córdoba 5000, Argentina
E-mail address: `{kaplan, levstein, saal, tirabo}@mate.uncor.edu`

Kaplan also at: University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA
E-mail address: `kaplan@mate.uncor.edu`